Diagnosis of Setbacks and Intervention in Introductory Programming at Scale

Authors:

Michael James Scott, Falmouth University Mark Zarb, Robert Gordon University Bedour Alshaigy, Oxford-Brookes University Gheorghita Ghinea, Brunel University

Abstract:

It can be challenging to support and motivate programming students in introductory contexts (Jenkins, 2001; Jenkins, 2002). Although computing education in secondary schools now receives more attention, due to advocacy (Livingstone & Hope, 2011; Furber, 2012) and revised curricula (Department for Education, 2013), there is still considerable variance in the programming ability of new undergraduate students. Many have little to no prior experience (Scott & Ghinea, 2014a; Scott, 2016). As a result, university teaching staff are required to apply pedagogies that are elastic. However, elastic pedagogies, such as soft-scaffolding (Scott, 2013), are non-trivial to implement in large classes. This means that it is difficult to provide enough challenge to maintain some students' interest while also being accessible enough to avoid intimidating others, and even more so when diagnosing student setbacks and implementing targeted interventions.

To this end, the authors explore practical approaches to diagnosis and intervention in large introductory programming classes. Firstly, using robot challenges and games, such as *Lego Mindstorms*, *SpaceChem* and *Blockly*, as a proxy measures for computational thinking (Zarb & Siegel, 2016; Scott, 2016). Secondly, using psychometric instruments on *SoScience* to evaluate key variables such as: self-concept; mindset; and anxiety (Scott & Ghinea, 2014b); as well as learning style (Alshaigy et al, 2015). Thirdly, using *Socrative* to apply peer instruction methods (Porter, Zingaro & Lister, 2014) to identify key areas of difficulty, such as assignment (Bornat, Dehnadi & Simon, 2008), as early as possible. Such data can be used to diagnose key issues and better inform teaching assistants on in-lab support, the design of peer-review activities, as well as CPD (continuing personal development) activities in small-group tutorials. While the validity and reliability of these approaches remains under investigation by the authors (c.f. Tew & Dorn, 2013), initial student and staff feedback suggests the approaches are useful.

References:

Alshaigy, B., Kamal, S., Mitchell, F., Martin, C. & Aldea, A. (2015) PILeT: An Interactive Learning Tool to Teach Python. Proceedings of the 10th Workshop in Primary and Secondary Computing Education. November 9-11, 2015, London, UK. ACM. pp. 76-79.

Bornat, R., Dehnadi, S. & Simon, S. (2008) Mental Models, Consistency and Programming Aptitude. Proceedings of the 10th Australasian Computing Education Conference, 53-61.

Department for Education (2013) National Curriculum in England: Computing Programmes of Study. [Online] Available at:

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-curriculum-in-england-computing-progr ammes-of-study/national-curriculum-in-england-computing-programmes-of-study (Accessed 30th October, 2016)

Furber, S. (2012) Shut Down or Restart? The Way Forward for Computing in UK Schools. The Royal Society, London, UK.

Jenkins, T. (2001) Teaching Programming: A Journey from Teacher to Motivator. Proceedings of the 2nd Annual HEA Conference for the ICS Learning and Teaching Support Network, 53-58.

Jenkins, T. (2002) On the Difficulty of Learning to Program. Proceedings of the 3rd Annual HEA Conference for the ICS Learning and Teaching Support Network, 1-8.

Livingstone, I. & Hope, A. (2011) Next Gen: Transforming the UK into the World's Leading Talent Hub for the Video Games and Visual Effects Industries. NESTA, London, UK.

Porter, L., Zingaro, D. & Lister, R. (2014) Predicting Student Success using Fine Grain Clicker Data. Proceedings of the 10th Annual Conference on International Computing Education Research. August 11-13, 2014, Glasgow, Scotland. ACM. pp. 51-58.

Scott, M.J. & Ghinea, G. (2014a) On the Domain-Specificity of Mindsets: The Relationship between Aptitude Beliefs and Programming Practice. IEEE Transactions on Education 57(3): 169-174.

Scott, M.J. & Ghinea, G. (2014b) Measuring Enrichment: The Assembly and Validation of an Instrument to Assess Student Self-Beliefs in CS1. Proceedings of the 10th Annual Conference on International Computing Education Research. August 11-13, 2014, Glasgow, Scotland. ACM. pp. 123-130.

Scott, M.J. (2016) Games and Game Jams: An Employability-First Approach to Educating Programmers. Proceedings of the 4th HEA Conference on Learning and Teaching in STEM Disciplines. January 28-29, 2016, Nottingham, UK. HEA.

Scott, M.J. & Ghinea, G. (2013) Educating Programmers: A Reflection on Barriers to Deliberate Practice". Proceedings of the 2nd HEA Conference on Learning and Teaching in STEM Disciplines. April 17-18, 2013, Birmingham, UK. HEA. pp. 028P.

Tew, A. & Dorn, A. (2013) The Case for Validated Tools in Computing Education Research. IEEE Computer 46(9): 60-66.

Zarb, M. & Siegel, A. (2016) Facilitating first year student transitions into HE computing using LEGO Mindstorms. Proceedings of the 4th HEA Conference on Learning and Teaching in STEM Disciplines. January 28-29, 2016, Nottingham, UK. HEA.